



QUASI-DUAL NATIONALITY © Authored by LB Bork lb@pacinlaw.org

Herein we will briefly discuss the quasi-dual nationality that is created by the federation of the American states. Such is existent the 14th Amendment notwithstanding; however, the 14th Amendment does put another twist into the legal equation, as you will see.

If one can acquire a copy of the United States Government Style Manual from 1984 he would note chapter 5.22 and 5.23 references: Nationalities, etc. “Etc.” is used in reference to other things, such as “whatever” other things that are mentioned. The mention of etc. is deemed a scheme to throw the reader off; the whole section entitled “Nationalities, etc.” is fundamentally stating that everything in the chapter 5.22 and 5.23 is—in reality—in reference to nationality.¹ As this fact is a well-kept secret of the *insurgent governmental system* that is attached to the 14th Amendment, such games are common when it comes to such matters in relation to the *several nationalities* of the states of America.

To expand, due to the federation system we have a dual nationality, so-to-speak. If you look at the manual you will see that there is no such thing as an “African” nationality or national.² Each state in Africa is a separate country (or nation). And because Africa does not have a *federation* like the United States of America does, this does not bring into play the language of *African* like they have done with *American*. With this in mind there is no such thing as an American in regard to nationality: America represents a land mass.

To better understand the premise of *quasi-dual nationality*, one must pretend that the federal government does not exist; which in this case the continent of “America” would be no different than the continent of “Africa”. As an example, compare an Alabamian (chapter 5.23) to—let us say—an Egyptian (depicted in the chart on page 235-237). . . In other words, pretend the *federal state* does not exist. An Egyptian is a member of a state like an Alabamian is a member of a state. Both have the *nationality* of the state in which they are a *native* of. In view of such fact, the *native reference* in the style manual (chapter 5.23) is really in reference to being a national of a country,³ *i.e.* state nationality.

Further, if you look at the chart on page 235-237 (of chapter 5.22) you will find that the people of the United States of America are referred to as “American nationals”. This is in reference to the federal *state* under international law. However, in the United States Code

-
- 1 **NATIVES.** All persons born within the jurisdiction of the United States are considered as natives. **NATIONALITY.** The state of a person in relation to the nation in which he was born. 2. A man retains his nationality of origin during his minority, but, as in the case of his domicile of origin, he may change his nationality upon attaining full age; he cannot, however, renounce his allegiance without permission of the government. See Citizen; Domicil; Expatriation; Naturalization. *Definitions taken from Bouvier's Law Dictionary, 1856*
 - 2 See this depicted on page 235-237 of the manual.
 - 3 **COUNTRY.** By country is meant the state of which one is a member. Every man's country is in general the state in which he happens to have been born. *Bouvier's Law Dictionary, 1856*

under Title 8 USC § 1401 *citizens of the United States* are referred to as “nationals of the United States. The term “American” is a word that is used to baffle people. Please note that this is a word or *term* that the Federal government enjoys using to be deceptive.⁴ And not only the Executive departments use it in a deceptive manner, but the courts also use it in a deceptive manner when a decision—or *opinion*—is rendered.

Now let us go over the *Quasi-Dual Nationality* principle: The United States, or Federal Government, has the obligation to protect *all Americans (de jure or de facto)* when they are outside the United States of America.⁵ However, when an American that claims his *state nationality* is in the United States, he is a “national” of his country. He is under the Bill of Rights’ Ninth and Tenth Amendments as it applies *under de jure premises*. There is no section that is in the Constitution that overrides this premise. In fact, if you study the *War Between the States* you will find that the Constitution has little authority. Again, the naturalization clause in the body of the Constitution sets forth that each country—or *state*—is a separate nation, hence each country carries a nationality.

So, the term *American national* would be proper when a *state national* or a *national of the United States* is seen outside the United States of America; however, when one is in America he would be under the nationality of his state, unless he was a *citizen of the United States*, then such a person would be a *national of the United States*, as depicted by Title 8 USC § 1401 and Title 8 USC § 1101(a)(22)(A). One should infer from this that a *citizen of the United States* is an American citizen.⁶ The “national of the United States” *persona* attaches when a *de facto person* is present in United States of America.

In legal operation, state nationality—or *de jure nationality*⁷—is transferred to the Federal government when a *state national* exits America; in legal premise such national becomes an American national in a temporary manner; thus such national enjoys the protection of the federation when he goes over seas to a country that is outside of America. This is an inherent duty of the Federal government under pre-14th Amendment premise.

4 See this information at: http://www.pacinlaw.org/pdf/American_Citizen.php. Also see this information at: http://www.pacinlaw.org/pdf/Dual_System.php

5 It is a fact that the federal government has a duty to protect all American citizens ‘outside’ of the United States; below is an Attorney General’s opinion that is pre-Civil War, thus, pre-14th amendment, that is evidence of such: “*In regard to the protection of our citizens in their rights at home and abroad we have no law which divides them into classes, or makes any difference whatever between them. A native and a naturalized American may, therefore, go forth with equal security over every sea and through every land under heaven, including the country in which the latter was born.*” 9 Op. Att.-Gen. 360 (1859).

6 Section 3 of 15 United States Statutes, Chapter 249 (1868); now cited as the following, Title 22 USC § 1732. PROTECTION OF CITIZENS ABROAD. Release of citizens imprisoned by foreign governments. Whenever it is made known to the President that any citizen of the United States has been unjustly deprived of his liberty by or under the authority of any foreign government, it shall be the duty of the President forthwith to demand of that government the reasons of such imprisonment; and if it appears to be wrongful and in violation of the rights of American citizenship, the President shall forthwith demand the release of such citizen, and if the release so demanded is unreasonably delayed or refused, the President shall use such means, not amounting to acts of war and not otherwise prohibited by law, as he may think necessary. . . [Note the language has changed from the act. The term *foreign governments* was originally noted as *foreign states*. The several States *were* referred to as foreign states.]

7 Title 8 USC § 1101(a)(21). The term “national” means a *person* owing permanent allegiance to a state. [This is where a *state national* is defined by congress in the US Code.]

Accordingly, there *is not* a *state nationality* for “citizens of the United States” when they are in America. Such citizens are only *nationals of the United States*,⁸ as defined by Title 8 USC § 1401 of the Aliens and Nationality code. The *insurgent* Congress has not stated that 14th Amendment citizens have *dual nationality*; although there has been a principle that all 14th Amendment citizens maintain a *so-called* dual citizenship. This principle is a way of covering-up the fraud of the system installed under the Fourteenth Amendment political system. If you want to study further on this look up the term *conflicts of law*.

Furthermore, a person who is naturalized to be a *citizen of the United States*, being from a foreign country, let us say a ‘Cuban’, does not have the natural or native nationality of a state, such as a natural born American. Such a person is just a “national of the United States” that *believes* he owes allegiance to the “United States”. This gives further reason that natural born Americans who are *naturalized* by the Fourteenth Amendment at birth do not possess their *state nationality*, only the *national of the United States* status.

CONSEQUENTLY, a plethora of *holes* are caused by the *perverted* legal effects inflicted by the Fourteenth Amendment. All-in-all the Fourteenth Amendment *nationality* is a fraud and is essentially unlawful!⁹ This was the way the New World Order planned to destroy the Christian nations in America and substitute them with a fraudulent nation of *human resources* of many races and religions. The fraud notwithstanding, all men and women who carry the posterity of the original premise who are born in one of the Union states are actually *nationals* of their *countries*, the 14th Amendment notwithstanding. However they are not as a *matter of law* because they have not *declared* their lawful nationalities; hence the legal fiction is that they are *nationals of the United States*.

CLOSING SUMMARY

Try to explain these facts to the *insurgents* (socialists) who call themselves citizens of the United States. It is truly unfortunate that *they* have been putting Fourteenth Amendment *Marxist dogma* over on “Americans” for over a century. It is unfortunate that everyone in the United States of America has lost their true identities.

~~~~~

Did you know your state was your true *country* and you had a *nationality* of such?

*“The Communists are further reproached with desiring to abolish countries and nationality.”*

That was taken word for word from the Communist Manifesto, 1848.

One should venture that *someone* has been lying to you.

~~~~~

Authored by LB Bork of the People’s Awareness Coalition

POB 313 – Kieler, Wisconsin www.pacinlaw.org

LB may be reached via email at: lb@pacinlaw.org

8 This *citizen/subject* status is similar to that of owing allegiance to a monarch.

9 **GENOCIDE.** The systematic and *planned extermination** of an entire national, racial, political, or ethnic group. *See synonyms abolish: *exterminate, extinguish, extirpate, eradicate, obliterate.* *American Heritage Dictionary*